

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXX No. 21

November 20, 2018

139 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

A Better Way Wholesale Autos, Inc. v. Gause (Order), 330 C 940	54
Adams v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles (Order), 330 C 940	54
Graham v. Commissioner of Transportation, 330 C 400	2
<i>Highway defect statute (§ 13a-144); personal injury; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly reversed trial court's judgment in favor of defendant Commissioner of Transportation; claim that commissioner was liable under § 13a-144 for failure of state police to close interstate bridge because of icy conditions; whether evidence in record was sufficient to establish relationship between state police and commissioner, as required under Lamb v. Burns (202 Conn. 158); construction of statutes waiving sovereign immunity, discussed.</i>	
Humble v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 330 C 939	53
Jordan v. Biller (Order), 330 C 941	55
Kaminski v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 330 C 939	53
136 Field Point Circle Holding Co., LLC v. Razinski (Order), 330 C 942	56
State v. Griffin (Orders), 330 C 941	55
Wiggins v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 330 C 942	56
Volume 330 Cumulative Table of Cases	57

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Gabriel v. Mount Vernon Fire Ins. Co., 186 CA 163	3A
<i>Insurance; subrogation; action seeking to subrogate plaintiffs to insured's rights under umbrella automobile insurance policy with defendant insurer; whether trial court erred in finding that business insurance policy qualified as underlying insurance, thereby triggering excess coverage; claim that umbrella automobile insurance policy's savings clause, which provided that defendant was not required to provide coverage if insured failed to maintain underlying insurance, was inapplicable because it only contemplated situations in which insured has underlying insurance at requisite level when umbrella policy becomes active and fails to keep up underlying policy; whether trial court properly determined that umbrella automobile insurance policy's business exclusion did not apply because qualifying underlying insurance existed at time of accident; claim that trial court erred in determining damages; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant \$200,000 credit to be charged against sum that defendant owed toward unsatisfied portion of plaintiffs' underlying judgments.</i>	
State v. Farrar, 186 CA 220	60A
<i>Motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that trial court improperly denied motion to correct illegal sentence; whether defendant's sentence of seven years incarceration followed by eight years of special parole was prohibited by statute (§ 53a-35a) that requires that defendant be sentenced to definite term of imprisonment; whether applicable statutes (§§ 53a-28 [b] [9] and 54-128 [c]) explicitly authorized defendant to be sentenced to term of imprisonment followed by period of special parole.</i>	
State v. Hooks (Memorandum Decision), 186 CA 901	67A
State v. Ortega (Memorandum Decision), 186 CA 901	67A
State v. Spring, 186 CA 197	37A
<i>Strangulation in second degree; assault in third degree; whether trial court erred in granting motion to admit defendant's written statement into evidence; request for this court to invoke its supervisory authority to order new trial and require</i>	

(continued on next page)

judges of Superior Court to instruct juries in particular manner when faced with statements or confessions obtained during unrecorded custodial interrogations in violation of statute [§ 54-1o]; claim that violation of § 54-1o had constitutional implications; claim that written statement should not have been admitted into evidence pursuant to exception in subsection (h) of § 54-1o; whether trial court properly determined that defendant's written statement was voluntary and reliable under totality of the circumstances; whether state was required to present independent corroborating evidence of contents of written statement that violated § 54-1o; reviewability of claim that trial court abused its discretion in overruling objection to alleged misstatement of prosecutor during closing rebuttal argument; failure to brief claim adequately.

State v. Washington, 186 CA 176	16A
<i>Conspiracy to commit home invasion; attempt to commit home invasion; attempt to commit robbery in first degree; conspiracy to commit robbery in first degree; attempt to commit assault in first degree; claim that evidence was insufficient to support conviction of conspiracy to commit home invasion and attempt to commit home invasion; whether jury reasonably could have found that defendant had agreed with coconspirators to engage in conduct constituting home invasion; whether jury was entitled to credit and rely on coconspirator's testimony as basis for conviction, even if it was only evidence offered to establish one or more essential elements of charged offense; whether jury reasonably could have found that defendant intentionally took substantial step in course of conduct planned to culminate in crime of home invasion; unpreserved claim that trial court improperly instructed jury on common essential element of conspiracy to commit home invasion and attempt to commit home invasion by substituting term "dwelling" with word "building" in its oral jury instructions; whether defendant failed to demonstrate existence of constitutional violation that deprived him of fair trial pursuant to third prong of test set forth in State v. Golding (231 Conn. 233); whether defendant was entitled to reversal of judgment pursuant to plain error doctrine.</i>	
Volume 186 Cumulative Table of Cases	69A

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

Motor Vehicles, Department of—Notice of Public Hearing	1B
Social Services, Department of—TANF Caseload Reduction Report	1B

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Interim Suspension of Attorney	1C
--	----

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.